

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

Computer network based secure peer-to-peer file distribution system.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is related to computer network based file distribution systems in general, and more particularly to secure peer-to-peer file distribution systems and control methods therefor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The popularity of computer network based peer-to-peer file distribution systems such as Napster™ and Gnutella™ has raised concerns by copyright holders of their increasing inability to control and profit from the distribution of their software, multimedia content, and other digital content. Computer networks such as the Internet has fostered an unprecedented degree of interactivity, giving rise to rampant file sharing and infringement. Furthermore, as a digital file can be copied with no loss of fidelity, it is almost impossible to differentiate a digital copy from the digital original.

The principal technology which has been used for protecting digital content is cryptography. However, devising practical retail systems for delivery of digital content from distributor to consumer, as distinct from confidential transmission in national security and business activities among trusted and cleared personnel, has required innovation. Executable software-based cryptography can ensure that data are distributed only to authorized users. The information to be protected is encrypted and transmitted to the authorized user(s). Separately, a decryption key is provided only to authorized users. The key is subsequently used to enable decryption of the information so that it is available to the authorized user(s).

Existing methods for distributing files over computer networks suffer from a variety of problems, including their inability to guarantee delivery to of content upon payment, their inability to track the file distribution path, and their inability to prevent

and/or track unauthorized redistribution. A file distribution method that overcomes these problems would, therefore, be advantageous. Furthermore, new methods of content distribution often cannot be easily integrated with existing systems of goods distribution, such as Multi-level Marketing (MLM), vendors-distributors, etc. A content distribution method that can be integrated with traditional sales methods and new distribution technologies, such as peer-to-peer, would also be advantageous.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a secure computer network based peer-to-peer file distribution system that ensures that sellers of digital content will receive payment for distribution of their digital content and that buyers will receive digital content that they pay for, that prevents distribution of illegal or pirated content, that allows pirated content to be identified as such, and that prevents other transaction information, such as encryption keys, credit card numbers, etc., from being stolen by a third party during transfer.

In one aspect of the present invention a peer-to-peer file distribution method is provided including a) a buyer sending to a seller and an arbitrator a request to receive a file possessed by the seller, b) the seller sending a confirmation of the request to the arbitrator, c) the arbitrator sending encryption information to the seller, the seller d) encrypting the file with the encryption information, e) sending the encrypted file to the buyer, f) creating a first hash from the encrypted file, g) sending the first hash to the arbitrator, the buyer h) creating a second hash from the encrypted file, i) sending the second hash to the arbitrator, if the hashes match, the arbitrator j) authorizing payment from the buyer to the seller, k) sending decryption information to the buyer, and the buyer decrypting the encrypted file.

In another aspect of the present invention the method further includes in the sending step c) the arbitrator sending watermarking information to the seller, in the encrypting step d) the seller watermarking the file with the watermarking information, in the sending step e) the seller sending the encrypted and watermarked file to the buyer, in the creating step f) the seller creating a first hash from the encrypted and watermarked file, in

the creating step h) the buyer creating a second hash from the encrypted and watermarked file.

In another aspect of the present invention any of the sending steps includes encrypting that which is sent with an encryption key associated with the recipient of that which is sent.

In another aspect of the present invention the method further includes any of the recipients of that which is sent in any of the sending steps decrypting that which is sent using a decryption key operative to decrypt that which is sent.

In another aspect of the present invention any of the sending steps includes signing that which is sent with a signature key associated with the sender of that which is sent.

In another aspect of the present invention the method further includes any of the recipients of that which is sent in any of the sending steps verifying the signature of that which is sent.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will be understood and appreciated more fully from the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the appended drawings in which:

Fig. 1 is a simplified sequence diagram of a computer network based secure peer-to-peer file distribution system, constructed and operative in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

Figs. 2A, 2B, and 2C, taken together, is a simplified flowchart illustration useful in understanding the system of Fig. 1.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Reference is now made to Fig. 1, which is a simplified sequence diagram of a computer network based secure peer-to-peer file distribution system, constructed and operative in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, and

1005544-013303

additionally to Figs. 2A, 2B, and 2C, which, taken together, is a simplified flowchart illustration useful in understanding the system of Fig. 1. It is appreciated that the present invention may be implemented on one or more computers connected to a computer network, such as the Internet, using conventional techniques. In the system of Fig. 1 and the method of Figs. 2A, 2B, and 2C, a prospective buyer B of digital content, such as in the form of a computer file F, knows of or otherwise locates F as residing on a computer of a seller S. B then selects an available arbitrator A known to B or otherwise locates an available arbitrator A. B then requests A's public key, PK_A , typically signed by a certification authority, from a key server K, and may check the signature using conventional techniques to confirm that it is indeed A's key. B then sends a transaction request to S, optionally encrypted with PK_S , including an identifier identifying B, an identifier identifying A, the name of file F, and information encrypted with PK_A , including B's payment information, such as a credit card number CC_B , a randomly chosen bit sequence IB , preferably being several hundred bits long, which will serve as a session identifier, an identifier identifying B, an identifier identifying A, an identifier identifying S, and the name of file F. (It is appreciated throughout the specification and claims that the notation PK_n is used to denote public keys used for asymmetric encryption, while PrK_n and $PubK_n$ denote private and public keys respectively such as may be used in conjunction with signature and signature verification algorithms.) B's request may also be signed with PrK_B , B's private key, using any known signature algorithm in order to ensure that the request indeed came from B. It is appreciated that any message transmission described herein may be similarly signed using the sender's private key.

S then requests A's public key PK_A from K, typically signed by a certification authority, and may check the signature using conventional techniques to confirm that the key is need A's key. S then extracts identifier of the previous transaction signed by the arbitrator of the previous transaction A^* that is $PrK_{A^*}(IDL)$ and also the identifier of the arbitrator of the previous transaction A^* . S then generates a session identifier IS , preferably being hundreds of bits long. S then sends a request to A, typically encrypted with with PK_A

including identifiers of B, S, file name F, S's own payment information, such as a credit card number CC_S , a session identifier IS, extracted IDL signed by PrK_{A^*} , an identifier of A^* , and, separately, identifiers of A, B, S, file name F, B's payment information CC_B , and B's session identifier IB encrypted with PK_A that S received in encrypted form from B. If there is no A^* , this implies that S is the creator of F or is otherwise the source of F. If so, S may act as A^* by creating an identifier IDL from F, signing it using S's private key PrK_S , and watermarking F. S's public key for signature verification, $PubK_S$, may be made available on key server K. Optionally, a copyright verification server may be established to determine whether F has been pirated using techniques described herein. If the file has not been pirated, then the copyright verification server may create IDL, sign it, and watermark F.

A then requests S's and B's public keys, PK_S and PK_B , from K, typically signed by a certification authority, and may check the signatures using conventional techniques to confirm that the keys are indeed S's and B's public keys. A also checks that IS and IB were not used in a previous transaction, and confirms that CC_S and CC_B is present and valid using conventional techniques. Additionally A requests $PubK_{A^*}$ from the key server and checks the validity of $PrK_{A^*}(IDL)$, thereby verifying that F is a legal file originating from a copyright holder or other authorized representative. This validation prevents pirated files from being sold within the system of the present invention. A then generates two random numbers, SK and CK, preferably being several hundred bits long each, with SK being used for generating a new transaction identifier that will be incorporated within the watermark, and CK being used as a session key for a symmetric encryption algorithm. A also calculates a new transaction IDN as the result of a hash function applied to identifiers of A, B, S, $PrK_{A^*}(IDL)$. A then sends a message, typically encrypted with PK_S , to S including $PrK_{A^*}(IDN)$, $HM5(IS)$ and CK.

The function $HM5()$ is a function known to A and S in advance of transaction processing. S then checks $HM5(IS)$ to make sure he is talking to A. S then digitally watermarks F to create a digitally watermarked file wF. The watermark typically

incorporates information relating to the transaction, such as IDN signed by A, identifiers for B, S, and A, and a parameter 'Level' that defines the ordinal number of the watermark applied to the file, as the watermarking method used preferably allows for multiple watermarks to be applied to a single file, even one on top of the other. The watermarking method used is also preferably one that makes it impossible to remove the watermark from the file without damaging the file. Any suitable watermarking technique that meets these requirements may be used. S then encrypts wF with CK using any known symmetric encryption technique to create wF* and computes a hash H from the encrypted file wF*.

S then sends a message to A, typically encrypted with PK_A , including H, and a value $HM6(PrK_A(IDN))$, where $HM6()$ is a function known to both parties in advance of processing the transaction. S then sends wF* to B. B then computes a hash H* from wF* using the same hash algorithm used by S hereinabove. B then sends to A a message, preferably encrypted with PK_A , including H*, $HM3(IB)$. $HM3()$ is a function known to A and B in advance of transaction processing.

Having received a message from S, A checks $HM6(PrK_A(IDN))$ to make sure he is talking to S and extracts H. Having received a message from B, A checks $HM3(IB)$ to make sure that he is talking to B and extracts H*. A then compares the two hashes H and H*. If they match, then the transaction is valid, and A may contact a payment authority, such as a credit card company, to authorize the transfer of money from B to S. A then sends a message to B, preferably encrypted with PK_B , including CK, $PrK_A(IDN)$, and $HM4(IB)$, where $HM4()$ is a function known to A and B in advance of transaction processing.

Once B receives the message sent by A, B checks $HM4(IB)$ to make sure he is talking to A. B then decrypts wF* with CK, resulting in a functional wF. B also preferably checks the $PrK_A(IDN)$ received from A and the $PrK_A(IDN)$ from the watermark to see if they match. If they do not match, B may report such to A. B then replaces $PrK_A(IDN)$ with $PrK_A(IDN)$ in F's meta-information, as well as A* with A, and Level with Level+1.

In the system and method of Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, and 2C, B and S preferably each possess only one public key, being PK_A , while A preferably possesses both PK_S and PK_B .

However, it is appreciated that any message sender may retrieve the recipient's PK from K and encrypt the message prior to transmitting the message.

It is appreciated that one or more of the steps of any of the methods described herein may be omitted or carried out in a different order than that shown, without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention.

It is appreciated that the methods and apparatus described herein may be implemented using computer hardware and/or software using conventional techniques.

While the present invention has been described with reference to one or more specific embodiments, the description is intended to be illustrative of the invention as a whole, and is not to be construed as limiting the invention to the embodiments shown. It is appreciated that various modifications may occur to those skilled in the art that, while not specifically shown herein, are nevertheless within the true spirit and scope of the invention.

10056344-012802